UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/790,959	03/01/2004	Takemori Takayama	03773/LH	2156
	7590	EXAMINER		
220 Fifth Avent		YEE, DEBORAH		
NEW YORK, N	NY 10001-7708		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1793	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/05/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/790,959	TAKAYAMA, TAKEMORI		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Deborah Yee	1793		

		Deborah Yee	1793	
The MAILII	NG DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>28</u>	May 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
application, application in con	d after a final rejection, but prior to or on cant must timely file one of the following dition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe amination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	which places the (3) a Request
<u></u>	reply expires 6_months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
no event, howe Examiner Note	reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A ever, will the statutory period for reply expire la f: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailinǫ b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	on.
have been filed is the date under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is c set forth in (b) above, if ch	e obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of for purposes of determining the period of extra alculated from: (1) the expiration date of the selected. Any reply received by the Office later atent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ension and the corresponding amount chortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria inally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee be action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appril	peal was filed on A brief in comp f Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter has been filed, any reply must be filed w	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed ar (a) They raise (b) They raise (c) They are no appeal; and (d) They prese	nt additional claims without canceling a	nsideration and/or search (see NOTw); ter form for appeal by materially red	TE below); ducing or simplifying tl	
4. The amendments 5. Applicant's reply	(See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). s are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 has overcome the following rejection(s): or amended claim(s) would be all	<u> </u>		
7. For purposes of a how the new or al The status of the Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected Claim(s) rejected:	appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [mended claims would be rejected is prov claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		I be entered and an e	xplanation of
<u>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER</u>				
because applican	ther evidence filed after a final action, bu t failed to provide a showing of good and esented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
entered because	ther evidence filed after the date of filing the affidavit or other evidence failed to o and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
	other evidence is entered. An explanation INSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
	reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowan	ce because:
	ed Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (/08.	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). <u>5/28/08;</u>	10/16/07	
		/Deborah Yee/ Primary Examiner		

Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1793

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No.

Applicant submitted that EP 950723 is obtained in such a way that a fine nitride and/or carbonitride having an average grain size of 0.3 microns or less is dispersed in the contact surface structure by carburizing and nitriding. After cementite of 3 microns or less is dispersed in the surface structure while heating in a temperature region from the A1 temperature to 900C, a quenching treatment is performed on the rolling element. Under the heat conditions for dispersing the cementite, since heating is performed in a carbon concentration range where austenite and cementite coexist, it is clear that the carbon concentration in a martensite after quenching does not exceed the eutectoid carbon concentration of the alloy (i.e., 0.8 wt%C). It is the Examiner's position that this is merely Applicant's statement without any convicing evidence (comparative test data). Also it should be pointed out that Applicant also teaches heating to A1 temperature or higher prior to quenching,see claim 9.

Applicant stated that EP'723 teaches nitriding treatment such that 0.4 to 2.5% N is diffused in the contact surface structure of the rolling element. It is the Examiner's position that nitrides are not excluded from Applicant's claims. Moreover, claim 1 of EP'723 teaches nitrides as optional in martensitic surface structure..